1	- WILL GRANNIS -	Page 1				
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK					
3	X					
4	ULKU ROWE,					
5	Plaintiff,					
6	Case No. 19 Civ. 08655(LGS)(GWG)					
7	v.					
8	GOOGLE LLC					
9	Defendant.					
10	X					
11	DATE: October 29, 2020					
12	TIME: 11:33 A.M.					
13						
14	VIDEOTAPED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION					
15	OF WILL GRANNIS, held via Zoom, pursuant to					
16	Notice, before Hope Menaker, a Shorthand Reporter					
17	and Notary Public of the State of New York.					
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

30 to 33

Page 30 Page 32 1 - WILL GRANNIS -1 - WILL GRANNIS -2 detail, so it will probably help. OCTO, the job 2 did not report to me I was also someone that she 3 would come to for advice. 3 family as seen in the job description and in OCTO And how frequently as the direct as we've described since the very beginning of the 4 4 5 manager did you have one-to-ones with her? CTO office, the role consists of three main we'll 6 The -- the normal schedule was every call them buckets of work. There's customer work, 7 two weeks unless it was interrupted by some type 7 there's influencing the platform and products, and 8 of vacation, time off, other circumstances; but then there is the speaking, the evangelism part. 9 it's all of my direct reports and I, we have a 9 In order to create impact with the 10 one-to-one on a standing schedule. 10 customer OCTOs are expected to help materially At -- at the beginning it was every advance the progress of the largest brands in the 11 11 12 week, then it went to every two weeks and then 12 world; and what I mean by that is, you know, come over time I found that many of my direct reports to Cloud, come to Google Cloud and succeed with 13 13 choose to go once a month, but generally speaking 14 14 Google Cloud. 15 The second pillar, the engineering it was very frequent. 15 16 And were there times that you 16 pillars, are expected to take their knowledge from 17 interacted outside of your normally scheduled the corporate world and what they obtain at Google 17 18 one-to-ones? 18 and they're expected to show impact on the product 19 Α. 19 road map, and this can come in a variety of 20 And what would be the types of 20 fashions. Most often this means that they have 21 circumstances where you would interact outside of 21 championed a change that we need make to what 22 one-to-ones? 22 we're building that it is accepted by the 23 As I recall, most of those 23 engineering, production, leadership. interactions were initiated by Ulku asking me a And the third pillar is conveying the 24 24 25 question on a specific circumstance. 25 power of our technology to the outside world Page 33 Page 31 - WILL GRANNIS -- WILL GRANNIS -1 2 Q. And in your interactions with her, 2 and helping create a translation between our 3 have you found her to be professional? 3 technology and its possibilities in the context of 4 4 the day; and in this way Ulku for example spent 5 Have you found her to be 5 most of her time explaining the power of 6 knowledgeable with respect to her subject area? 6 technology to financial services organizations and 7 MR. GAGE: Objection. 7 regulators, organizations like that externally. 8 Α. As documented in performance reviews, 8 So we'll come back to some of this. 9 there are three categories. You know, when you With respect to the second bucket, 9 say "knowledgeable," that requires a view across influencing the platform products and the 10 10 the three categories of the role. There's a engineering piece -- do I have that right, that's 11 11 customer element of the role, there's an the second bucket? 12 12 13 engineering element of the role, and there's an 13 Describe for me a little bit more 14 evangelism element of the role. 14 15 Ulku has demonstrated as -- you know, 15 about the engineering aspect of -- of the role, 16 as shown in her performance reviews she's 16 what it means to impact -- have an impact on the 17 demonstrated acumen and knowledge; significant 17 product road map. 18 knowledge in evangelism, knowledge of financial 18 Examples of impact can range from 19 services and customers, but impact knowledge on 19 conceiving of a design of a new product and 20 20 ensuring that it's built. It can be spotting an the engineering pillar has been less than the 21 average in OCTO. 21 opportunity to evolve something that we already Q. 22 22 have and make it better. And tell me what that means, "impact 23 knowledge." 23 And it -- I think it's critical to

24

point out that the idea itself is only a little

bit of the role, that the -- the full as, you

What that means is the sec -- the --

if I may describe the three in a little more

24

25

	Page 182		Page 184	
1	- WILL GRANNIS -	1	- WILL GRANNIS -	
2	Q. Do you recall whether there were	2	performed well in the one-to-one and one-to-many,	
3	people who you believed did not exceed	3	but did not perform well in engineering which is	
4	expectations, was the next category meets	4	why her rating was not higher.	
5	expectations?	5	Q. Did she do anything on that pillar?	
6	A. Yeah, on a scale	6	MR. GAGE: Objection.	
7	MR. GAGE: Objection.	7	A. I'd have to	
8	Go ahead. Sorry.	8	MR. GAGE: In this particular year or	
9	A. On a scale of 5, 5 being superb which	9	ever?	
10	is the top performance in the in the job role	10	MS. GREENE: Ever.	
11	level, 4 being strongly exceeds, 3 being exceeds,	11	A. Very little. Consistently if you	
12	2 being meets, and 1 being needs improvement,	12	look over the span of her performance years,	
13	OCTOs over the last four and five years have	13	you'll notice a very consistent pattern and that	
14	earned scores ranging from these improvements in	14	is a lack of meaningful impact in engineering.	
15	being exited out of the company to OCTOs over	15	In her late latest performance	
16	the last four or five years have received a range	16	review which we just actually had this week it was	
17	of ratings spanning from needs improvement which	17	pointed out that she has started some efforts that	
18	is a 1 out of 5, to a superb which is 5 out of 5.	18	might be promising, but we don't grade on intent,	
19	And in this period of time I'm	19	we grade on impact and Ulku has yet to demonstrate	
20	confident, though I don't have the data in front	20	any significant impact in the engineering pillar.	
21	of me, that OCTOs achieved range of scores on that	21	Q. And what you mentioned in this	
22	spectrum.	22	year's review, what what review did you give in	
23	Q. Did a majority fall within a certain	23	this last have evaluation, what scores?	
24	category of the people you evaluated	24	A. I think exceeds expectations. An	
25	A. I'd have to.	25	OCTO is limited in their ability to score high	
	Page 183		Dags 10F	
1	_	1	Page 185	
1 2	- WILL GRANNIS -	1 2	- WILL GRANNIS -	
2	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated	2	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one	
2	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category?	2	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job.	
2 3 4	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the	2 3 4	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment.	
2 3 4 5	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection.	2 3 4 5	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google,	
2 3 4 5	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give	2 3 4 5	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level?	
2 3 4 5 6 7	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell Curve to or fourth-ranking process to its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men had been leveled higher than her?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell Curve to or fourth-ranking process to its evaluation rankings?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men had been leveled higher than her? MR. GAGE: Objection.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell Curve to or fourth-ranking process to its evaluation rankings? A. No, people were allowed to earn the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men had been leveled higher than her? MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'm I'm not aware of the timing or	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell Curve to or fourth-ranking process to its evaluation rankings? A. No, people were allowed to earn the score that they earned.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men had been leveled higher than her? MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'm I'm not aware of the timing or the nature of the concerns, but I am aware because	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell Curve to or fourth-ranking process to its evaluation rankings? A. No, people were allowed to earn the score that they earned. Q. With respect to Ms. Rowe's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men had been leveled higher than her? MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'm I'm not aware of the timing or the nature of the concerns, but I am aware because I had a discussion with employee relations that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell Curve to or fourth-ranking process to its evaluation rankings? A. No, people were allowed to earn the score that they earned. Q. With respect to Ms. Rowe's performance evaluation that you completed, were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men had been leveled higher than her? MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'm I'm not aware of the timing or the nature of the concerns, but I am aware because I had a discussion with employee relations that there was a general concern.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell Curve to or fourth-ranking process to its evaluation rankings? A. No, people were allowed to earn the score that they earned. Q. With respect to Ms. Rowe's performance evaluation that you completed, were you accurate or did you strive to be accurate in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men had been leveled higher than her? MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'm I'm not aware of the timing or the nature of the concerns, but I am aware because I had a discussion with employee relations that there was a general concern. Q. When was that discussion with	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell Curve to or fourth-ranking process to its evaluation rankings? A. No, people were allowed to earn the score that they earned. Q. With respect to Ms. Rowe's performance evaluation that you completed, were you accurate or did you strive to be accurate in terms of the feedback and assessment that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men had been leveled higher than her? MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'm I'm not aware of the timing or the nature of the concerns, but I am aware because I had a discussion with employee relations that there was a general concern. Q. When was that discussion with employee relations?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell Curve to or fourth-ranking process to its evaluation rankings? A. No, people were allowed to earn the score that they earned. Q. With respect to Ms. Rowe's performance evaluation that you completed, were you accurate or did you strive to be accurate in terms of the feedback and assessment that you provided in those reviews?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men had been leveled higher than her? MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'm I'm not aware of the timing or the nature of the concerns, but I am aware because I had a discussion with employee relations that there was a general concern. Q. When was that discussion with employee relations? A. I don't remember off the top of my	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	- WILL GRANNIS - Q or did the people you evaluated fall within a certain category? A. I'd have to look at the MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'd have to look at the data to give you a definitive answer. Q. Was a 5 a regular occurrence or would that be more unusual for someone to be 4 to 5? A. Statistically the least likely ratings are the poles, as you would expect the the extremes, the needs improvement, and the superbs. Q. Did Google apply any sort of Bell Curve to or fourth-ranking process to its evaluation rankings? A. No, people were allowed to earn the score that they earned. Q. With respect to Ms. Rowe's performance evaluation that you completed, were you accurate or did you strive to be accurate in terms of the feedback and assessment that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	- WILL GRANNIS - on on the rating if they're not fulfilling one of the pillars on the job. Q. Give me just a moment. Okay. After Ms. Rowe joined Google, did she raise concerns with you about her level? A. The time when I remembered most specifically was in the transition to the vertical organization, when she was asking about the correspondence that we that we talked about earlier. Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2018, she raised with Kevin Lucas and Melissa Lawrence concerns about her levelling and that men had been leveled higher than her? MR. GAGE: Objection. A. I'm I'm not aware of the timing or the nature of the concerns, but I am aware because I had a discussion with employee relations that there was a general concern. Q. When was that discussion with employee relations?	

Page 190 Page 192 1 - WILL GRANNIS -1 - WILL GRANNIS -2 2 know both Ulku and Tariq reached out to me about on an area that was important horizontally to the 3 whether there was a position in OCTO available if 3 platform and not to financial services, because 4 she wanted to come back. they were the -- Tariq's org was the organization 5 What do you recall with respect to 5 focused on financial services, hence the reason the conversation with Mr. Shaukat? 6 for the reorg in the first place. 7 Just that if there was still a role 7 And I offered Ulku a choice of an 8 in OCTO for Ulku if she wanted to focus more on area to focus on and we determined that Hybrid 9 engineering, because his org was focused on 9 Cloud had enough investment potential behind it 10 verticals and industries, and if she was 10 from an engineering perspective and that her work interested in spending more of her time on the at a bank might line up well with knowledge of how 11 11 engineering side, technology side if there was a to implement hybrid architectures. 12 12 role available and I -- I immediately answered Do you know who took over her -- what 13 13 she had been doing with respect to the financial 14 14 15 services industry? Did he express anything with respect 15 16 to why he was asking the question? 16 A. In Tariq's organization? 17 No, he just asked me the question. In Tariq's organization and just Α. 17 18 0. Did you ask him why it was being 18 generally in the organization? 19 considered? 19 Α. Well, I can --20 No. I just knew that if Ulku had 20 MR. GAGE: Objection. 21 proven herself in the customer and the evangelism 21 Α. I can only speak to OCTO directly. 22 side of our -- of our team, if she was interested 22 When we made the reorg and moved the vertical 23 in attacking that -- that center bucket of 23 leaving OCTOs out of OCTO, we no longer focused on 24 engineering and she was committing herself to and looked at financial services or manufacturing 24 25 that, that there would be a place for her in OCTO. 25 as a primary designation for the team which is Page 191 Page 193 - WILL GRANNIS -- WILL GRANNIS -1 2 Do you know whether the decision to 2 why we never constituted backup, a -- you know, 3 move her -- or I'm sorry. a group focused specifically on verticals or 4 Do you know whether the conversation industries, why we are aligned and organized by 5 you had with Mr. Shaukat came after it was engineering-focus areas. 6 communicated to her that she would not be getting 6 Understood, and I'm just asking you 7 the -- the financial services lead role? 7 if you happen to know whether anyone in Google has 8 I -- I don't know the timing of that become and is the go-to person with respect to 9 commun -- I don't know the exact timing of the financial services customers? 9 communications, but I would assume she wouldn't be MR. GAGE: Objection. 10 10 looking for a role if she had a different role I don't -- you know, the go-to person 11 11 that she wanted. 12 12 from my perspective at the time when I labeled 13 Q. Was this in early 2019? 13 Ulku the go-to person, we were a much a smaller Generally that sounds correct because 14 14 organization as well. We've grown significantly 15 I think she's been in OCTO now a little over a 15 since then and we've hired a wide variety of 16 year, year and a half and that timeline would 16 people from the financial services industry who 17 match up because it didn't -- we didn't take too 17 also possess very deep engineering expertise. 18 long to bring her back. 18 For example Royal Hansen who's VP of 19 And once she moved back, what was the 19 engineering for all of Google security came from discussion with respect to what her role would be 20 20 the financial services organization, but also 21 vis-à-vis financial services? 21 possesses a super deep engineering expertise in 22 That it wouldn't be in financial 22 Α. security and he is often called upon today to 23 services and that was pretty clear. Tariq -- the 23 represent Google in high-stakes moments externally

24

where a knowledge of engineering, large-scale

engineering management, large scale engineering --

conversation he and I had about it was to focus on

technology, to focus on engineering, and to focus

24

25